# Improving the quality of the cadastral map

#### Agenda

- Presentation 40 min
- Group discussions 40 min
- Coffee break 10 min
- Group reports in plenary 30 min

The questions you will be asked to discuss

## Groups

#### Six discussion groups

#### 1

Magnús Guðmundsson Uffe Jimenez Ravn-Christensen Marja Rantala Turid Ellingsen Bo Naamansen

#### 2

Susanne Ås Sivborg Petur Nielsen Susanne Boiesen Petersen Heli Ursin Erik Perstuen

#### 3

Pia Dahl Højgaard Eydís Líndal Finnbogadóttir Anders Sandin Olav Petter Aarrestad Ronnie Thomassen

#### 4

Margrét Hauksdóttir Anders Lundquist Juha Tuomaala Morten Nordahl Møller Knut Arne Gjertsen

#### 5

Arvo Kokkonen Helge Onsrud Stein Fossá Jess Svendsen

#### 6

Anne Cathrine Frøstrup Hjörtur Grétarsson Søren Reeberg Nielsen Malene E. Jacobsen Irma Lähetkangas Each group answer its question and selects one extra question.

Groups give presentation on their discussions and key conclutions.

## Six questions for discussion?

# 1. How acurate should the Cadaster map be?

2. How will Cadastral maps be used in 20 years? What is needed?

## 3. Should Cadastral maps be 100% GPS in 3D? How will be get there?

4. Should the nordic countries work together on nordic quality standards and/or best practices?

5. Should the nordic countries work together on IT projects (e.g. Augmented reality for Cadastral maps)?

6. What threats and opertunities are for our institutions with regards to the quality of our Cadastral maps?

## Cadastral systems

## Every country has different starting point and different Cadastral system

- Landnama bok 1160
- 480 farms in Iceland
- 100% Cadaster system



#### The Danish Cadastral System





#### Finnish cadastral system – in numbers

- Total area 338,000 km<sup>2</sup>
- 2,850 000 land objects
- Cadaster covering 100% (99,99%)
- Buildings / condominium information is <u>not</u> part of cadaster
- Register units are identified by certain "register number code" (address is not cadaster information).
- Building and address —information is part of terrain information database (open data).
- Condominium register is starting stepwise at 2019



#### Icelandic cadastral system – in numbers

- 102.700 sqkm of land
- 107.500 land objects partially covering the whole land
- 53.500 land objects mapped in the cadaster
- 199.718 property objects whereas 56% are part of condominium
- 126.187 addresses, whereas 115.689 have associated address points, or 91,7%
- 99,9% of residential og recreational addresses mapped



#### Creating new Cadaster maps in Iceland.

- The Center of Iceland is being mapped out since 1995, will end 2023.
- Register Iceland is creating central digital cataster map system.
  - 2016: 13.000 land objects mapped of 106.000 in total (12,3%)
  - 2017: 37.500 land objects mapped of 106.500 or in total (35,2%)
  - 2018: 53.500 land objects mapped of 107.500 or in total (49,8%)





### Norway in brief (1)

- Area: 385.186 km<sup>2</sup>
- Population: 5.000.000
- Counties: 18 + Svalbard and Jan Mayen (arctic island groups)
- Municipalities: 422





### Norway in Brief (2)

- Properties: 3.253.916, the most with geographic coordinates
- Buildings: 4.223.457, the most with geographic coordinates
- Addresses: 2.270.825 street adresses (about 92%), and 173.312 another addresses (the most with geographic coordinates)
- 95 % of properties are in private ownership
- 80 % of families own their house
- 99 % of farms are owned by private, single farmers 20 hectares on average
- Only high mountains in general state ownership
- Almost all building construction are financed by mortgaging



#### The Cadastral System (3)





#### The Cadastral System (4)

- Five types of property units (2013)
  - Property unit (2.4 millions)
  - Condominiums (400.000)
  - Leasehold unit (165.000)
  - 3D unit (129)
  - Commons (70)







Figur 17.4 Oppretting av anleggseigedom på lokk over grunneigedom.



#### Sweden's cadastral system - in numbers

Sweden area is 447 435 sqkm

- 3 514 472 living objects in the cadastral register 2017-12-31
  - 3 295 181 property units
  - 119 816 joint property units
  - 99 475 joint facilities

The cadastral register also contains

- 1 453 044 "dead" proprty units
- 55 954 "dead" joint property units
- 6 784 "dead" joint facilities

No condominium register, there are discussions

2017-12-31					
Källa: GDS-BAL					
Byggnadsändamål	Antal byggnader <sup>1</sup>	Antal byggnader med minst en adress <sup>2</sup>			
Bostad	3 005 344	2 959 775			
Industri	81434	78 651			
Samhällsfunktion	123 006	118 365			
Verksamhet	59387	58 345			
Övriga ändamål	4 752 372	123 056			
Ekonomibyggnad	34.082	7 493			
Komplementbyggnad	4 590 014	88 009			
Övrig byggnad	128 276	27 554			
Totalt	8 021 543	3 338 192			
1) Byggnader med status "Gällande" och "Planerad"					
2) Byggnader med status "Gällande" och "Planerad" samt byggnader med attributet "Undantagen från adressättning". Belägenhetsadresser med status "Gällande" och "Reserverad"					

#### The Faroese cadastral system – general purpose

- The Faroese cadastral information has a legal role in the land market, as well as being used for regional planning. It has always been an object to keep the cadaster as simple as possible, and not to develop it into a multi-purpose cadaster. The reason is, that then it is simple to maintain and simple to develop new digital systems to administer and maintain.
- There is no need to develop fiscal elements into the cadaster, because property tax does not exist at The Faroes.

## Faroe Island made new cadaster maps for all of the Islands, took 30 years.



### What is a Cadastral map?

#### What is a cadastral map

- The cadastre is a register of property, usually made for taxation purpose
- Evolved into supplying information for title registration, land administration, environmental control etc.
- Essential for society
- The cadastral map is showing the content of the cadastre properties (land parcels, buildings, condominiums, rights of way)
- The cadastral maps in the Nordic countries are 2 dimensional digital maps (at least almost no 3d objects)





#### Why does the quality differ between areas?

• Developed over a long time period (1100 – today)



- Production method
  - Measurements
  - Areas with many changes vs. areas that have never changed
  - Digitisation

The spatial dimensions of property number 148-409-18-11 are defined by boundary markers numbers 169, 170, 171 and 172 and the boundary signs built between them.

148-409-1

10-21

到了

建制度

148-409-18-11

25

18-409-20

20-48

Boundary markers 96, 84, 96, 55 and 33 show the location of the boundaries of the adjoining properties, even though they have been built on the boundary of this property at a later date.

148-409

#### Digitisation

- Generally very old but updated analogue maps
- Generally multiple analogue maps that have been digitised into one digital cadastral map (national or municipal)
  - Denmark has used large subdivisions and road measurements as a base for transformations
- Iceland is building a cadastre but needs mandatory quality requirements
- Faroe Islands have measured and digitised all properties from the 1970'ies to 2000.

#### document cadastral system >Ú SU ന Of Icelandic Φ examp





FAGRALAND, LAND 1 FLATARMÁL : 17,44ha. UMMÁL : 2990m

#### YFIRLITSMYND Mky, 1:25,000

Kt.080353-421



D EHF.	Verki	FAGRALAND İ HEGRANESI, SKAGAFIRÐI			
	Verkþátturi 1	Landskipti - Fagraland, land1, landamerki - Afstöðumynd			
	Unnið fyrir: Jóhann M. Jóhannsson		Vecknz. 76094		
453-6021 odehf.is	Dagrött: Nugi Þór	Tuiknad: PED	Nalikvarði: 1:25001:50000	Oppdrättur nr.	
demente.	761km18kr41 76092AF Fagrala skipti 2015 S-101. dgs		1:25000 Blanstard : A2	S-101	
9	OtgaCudagart   7 28.09.2015	Areyting: Hz Dege	62) 	28.09.2015	

good

## document cadastral system survev ന of **Icelandic** example

complex


Icelandic cadastral system – example of a survey document



bad

Lóð	stærð m2 3558	Hnitapunktar (isnet 93)		
Stekkjarhóll		O33	374529,614	424406,040
	*	034	374548,764	424342,762
		O35	374503,965	424316,656
		O36	374482,895	424390,642

Hnitablað

## Challenges in the Nordic countries

#### • Example of quality issues and why

- Denmark: The cadastral map is of high relative accuracy. Some areas mainly rural are of very poor absolute accuracy due to production method of the digital cadastral. Open data result in "new" use, combining with other data. Number of points of lowest accuracy class is not decreasing significantly
- Norway: Poor accuracy in rural areas
- Sweden: Poor accuracy in rural areas
- Finland: Absolute accuracy is poor in rural areas. Detection of boundary marks is difficult and costly. Poor absolute accuracy causes problems especially with high tech GPS forestry
- Iceland: Is creating a cadastral map. Urban areas are well defined. Legislation of measurement method gives problems. Land movements
- Faroe Islands: Relatively newly measured cadastral map. Example of challenges are that municipalities does not register changes within own property



## Challenges in the Nordic countries

- In general:
  - Poor accuracy of measurements in rural areas
  - Or poor digitisation of measurements
  - Not fit for combining with other maps
- Absolute vs. relative accuracy of boundaries
- General misuse of the cadastral map
  - Combination with other maps (ortofotos)
  - Use in making decisions in public sector
  - People does not understand "relative accuracy"
- Open data makes it possible to combine data in numerous ways
  - How can it be ensured that data is not misused





# How to handle challenges?

#### The Danish Cadastral System – in numbers brief status

Area: Properties: Parcels: Coverage: 2017: 42.924 km<sup>2</sup> 2.091.707 2.494.224 100% (99,99%)

Numbers and accuracy of boundary points in the cadastral map1. class (< 10 cm):</td>772.2392010: 68.6132. class (< 50 cm):</td>5.209.4752010: 5.257.8693. class (< 5 m):</td>7.473.9542010: 7.803.304

Accuracy of the size (area) of the parcels: Depending on which information accuracy the area is calculated:

- From coordinates by measurement
- From a construktion by measurement, ex. in scale 1:500
- From a construktion by measurement in the cadastral map, ex. in scale 1:4.000





## Challenges

- Many kinds of boundary markers of various age
- Boundary markers are usually not visible on aerial photographs without signalling
- Current coordinates can show an error of dozens of metres
- Automatic data collection is difficult or impossible
- Topographic measurements are expensive
- Older boundary markers are often difficult to find -> clues can be found on several different graphical cadastral survey maps that must be checked individually (distances between boundary markers)
- Not even the boundary markers with the most accurate RSK number are completely reliable
- The truth is in a million stones in the terrain!

## Potential results of the project

- Suggested actions to improve the situation in future (such as, more information stored concerning boundary markers)
- An example of how spatial data analyses could be utilised to manage measurements (measure those boundary markers with the greatest effect on the surface areas of properties)
- The views of stakeholders, such as the forestry sector and landowners, on the problem
- A report on the opportunities offered by targeted crowdsourcing
- Suggested changes in cadastral survey production (development of boundary demarcation procedure)
- A suggestion on how to inform users of the positional accuracy of boundaries using colours, line thickness, fuzzy lines, etc.

Preliminary analysis of improvements of cadastral map

- Project ordered by the Danish Tax Authority
- Project period: August-December 2017
- Main supplier: COWI
- Others:
  - Aalborg University,
  - Danish Geodata Agency
  - Interviews (50) and workshops (among users of cadastral map)
- Result: Report with recommendations

Recommendations to improve quality of cadastral map

Focused improvement of cadastral map based on GIS-analyzes
Effort: 45 mill. Danish kr. – on standby



#### Recommendations to improve quality of cadastral map

2. Boundary lines towards territorial waters

Testproject on coast line improvements





#### Recommendations to improve quality of cadacter and

3. Communication about cadastral map Effort: "Quality-map", metadata





#### Recommendations to improve quality of cadastral map

# 4. Making af new map based on aerial images or orthophotos"Fit-for-purpose"-concept (Not recommended)



Recommendations to improve quality of cadastral map

5. Cadastral map and registration of plans (on standby)



## Improving the cadastral map

- New digitisation using surveying data very expensive/ data
- Crowd sourcing?
  - Pros and cons
  - Reliability
- Automated processes
  - Usucaption makes it difficult to use information from ortofotos
- Updating the cadastral map based on registration when selling property?
- Risk of becoming obsolete

## Improving the absolute accuracy of the cadastral map

- What needs to be improved
  - A higher absolute accuracy is necessary
- What accuracy is acceptable for users of the cadastral maps?
  - Different accuracies in different areas (urban/rural)
    - New agriculture machines use GPS
- Planning and building authorities
- 3d/2+1d Some issues occur when map is in 2d
  - Roads passing over or under parcels or buildings
  - Boundary crossing parking basements

### Denmark: Information material on the cadastral map

- Improving the information material for both private and professional users
- Quality map showing information about the digitisation method boundaries
  - Denmark: Showing point class for how the boundary marks are digitised
    - Does not show how well the boundary is represented in the map
- Project on improving the registered coast line
- GIS-analysis to find areas with more buildings crossing boundaries in the cadastral map

# New technology

#### New iniciatives

- New technology permits new use of cadastral map and data
- Kartverket has developed an AR solution that shows cadastral map on mobile phones
  - Accuracy of GPS in mobile phones
  - Absolute accuracy of the cadastral map

.....

#### 🔊 🖓 🖨 ¼ 📕 10:49



9 10 46 19:22 . 2 LUUN Kalibrer via kart

### Legislation

- In Sweden and Iceland it has been discussed to have legal coordinate cadastres
  - Accuracy?
  - Land movement
- Digitisation-ready legislation
  - Legally valid maps
  - Usucaption

# Groups

# Six discussion groups

#### 1

Magnús Guðmundsson Uffe Jimenez Ravn-Christensen Marja Rantala Turid Ellingsen Bo Naamansen

#### 2

Susanne Ås Sivborg Petur Nielsen Susanne Boiesen Petersen Heli Ursin Erik Perstuen

#### 3

Pia Dahl Højgaard Eydís Líndal Finnbogadóttir Anders Sandin Olav Petter Aarrestad Ronnie Thomassen

#### 4

Margrét Hauksdóttir Anders Lundquist Juha Tuomaala Morten Nordahl Møller Knut Arne Gjertsen

#### 5

Arvo Kokkonen Helge Onsrud Stein Fossá Jess Svendsen

#### 6

Anne Cathrine Frøstrup Hjörtur Grétarsson Søren Reeberg Nielsen Malene E. Jacobsen Irma Lähetkangas Each group answer its question and selects one extra question.

Groups give presentation on their discussions and key conclutions.

# Six questions for discussion?

# 1. How acurate should the Cadaster map be?

2. How will Cadastral maps be used in 20 years? What is needed?

# 3. Should Cadastral maps be 100% GPS in 3D? How will be get there?

4. Should the nordic countries work together on nordic quality standards and/or best practices?

5. Should the nordic countries work together on IT projects (e.g. Augmented reality for Cadastral maps)?

6. What threats and opertunities are for our institutions with regards to the quality of our Cadastral maps?
