

	Cadaster WG	Land Registration WG	Land Mapping WG	IT & Development
Governance				
Do the participants take responsibility for the work?	It is stated that the participants take responsibility in the group.	There has been no activity since June 2021.	There has been a period during the Covid lockdown (combined with the chairman's illness), where the LM WG did not function well. But now there is a new chairman, and strong commitment in general (although member is missing from Denmark).	There is participation from all countries and they are active.
Is there a structure/agreement on the work?	The organizations take turns preparing a presentation for the meetings. The group's other participants know the topic and have the opportunity to prepare questions and inputs for the presentation. In this way, the responsibility is distributed between the participants.	No	Yes	They look into what different countries are doing and they learn from each other. They try to have an agenda for the meeting, but this is not systematic. They will use the opportunity when they meet in September to discuss if they will need to be a working group. The group has an updated Amendment, where, apart from mandate description, the deliveries are stated, as well as outcome and other things.
Is the chair assigned?	The chair changes every year via a fixed rotation.	There was no one who would or could take over the chair after Lantmäteriet and there has been no activity in the group since.	Yes, Jani Kylmäaho from Finland is the new chair.	The group decided last year to follow the same rotation as the Nordic cooperation. Now Greenland's chairmanship is coming up and the new Icelandic member probably don't have the resources. They will probably end up deciding another rotation-principle between Sweden, Norway, Finland and Denmark. A new chairman needs guidance and this is something that NIC could support them with.
Does the WG have enough resources	The group explains that the necessary resources are being used for the work.	The group members have consisted of Land Registers and lawyers. A combination is important.	Yes, at present.	Sweden and Finland want to have more specialized working items, but other organizations don't have the resources.
Does the WG have enough administrative support?		There is a need for a place where files can be shared and saved, if a high level of ambition is expected.	Within the WG the experts provide support. For the Stora chefsmöte the Finnish NIC will support.	
Does the WG have enough continuity?	The group meets six times a year, with one physical meeting.	The group had good continuity before the structure of the groups was changed (2020), but the group has not been active since June 2021.	Now it is fine (difficulties during the Covid lockdown)	The group meets (virtually) every second month for one hour. Brief meetings. Next meeting is in September (face to face).
Does the WG have sufficient access to documents?	Documents are shared via email. It emphasized that in this way a lot of knowledge is lost, as documents are forgotten. It might be appropriate to have a common system where documents can be saved, edited and visible to others.	The group has shared files via email.	No, this is a major issue. A solution (a platform) for common access to documents must be found! The IT group should be tasked with this. The Nordic webpage should be updated or shut down.	Meeting notes and other documents are shared through emails and just between the members. Up to every member of the group to have its own register, nor common platform.
Activity				
Are there enough meetings?	Six meetings a year is sufficient.	Malene E. Jacobsen will investigate this before the Store Chefmøde.	Yes, there are two physical meeting and two virtual meeting for the main group.	Yes

			Additional meetings conducted in the subgroups.	
Do the participants take part of the meeting?	It is stated that the participants take responsibility in the group. The way the meetings are held contributes to this.	All countries have previously attended the meetings.	Yes all countries participate (although no member from DK)	Yes
Delivery				
Does the working group report to Store/Lille Chefmøde?	The group reports to the Store- and Lille Chefmøde. The group would like more information on what the DGs want the group to present.	(The group says that they are reporting, but for this year's Little Chefmøde, they announced they were unable to report)	It has been an issue to report to Lille and Store Chefmøde, but the group is reporting now.	The group didn't report to the last Lille Chefmøde.
Clear objectives				
Is the purpose of the group clear?	The group is primarily used for knowledge sharing between the participants. In the past, the group has worked with subgroups that aimed to shed light on specific issues or topics. That the group works for knowledge sharing is very rewarding for the participants.	There is a lack of expectations about the level of ambition in the group. The group wants it to be clear what the DGs and NIC expect from the group.	The general purpose yes, but direction must come from the Lilla and Store Chefmöte	The mandate describes the purpose partially (see Mandate). The working group is internally discussing its purpose. Is it a network or working group? The chairs emphasize that the networking is very valuable and gives many valuable information and insights on different issues on a high level. There is an updated mandate for the working group since 2021. However, there is an on-going discussion in the group if they are a working group or more of a Network. Especially Sweden and Finland seem to want to have more specialized working items, but other organizations don't have the resources.
Is it clear what the group has to deliver?	The group is aware that they have to report to the Store- and Lille Chefmøde. The group requests an annual meeting with NIC, possibly after Store Chefmøde, where this is discussed. The chair is responsible for reporting to the meetings.	There have been no concrete goals for what to deliver.	Yes, this builds on the annual workplan, which each WG has to deliver (containing tasks and outcomes).	As for a working group, they believe that IT is too general. They believe that better coordination between the working groups would support their understanding if any of the others are lacking IT-resources and if this group should do anything specific. The updated Mandate is clear about deliveries (see mandate).
Alignment to the strategy	The group does not focus on the strategy in their work.			
Enough guidance from DGs/NIC				
Does the working group get enough guidance from the DGs?	It might be appropriate to hold an annual meeting with NIC to discuss what is expected of the group, including what they should deliver to the Store- and Lille Chefmøde and how the group should work on the strategy.	More guidance is needed if the GDs want the working groups to function.	There is a lack of information from the Lilla and Store Chefmöte to the WG's in general. It is difficult for the WG to navigate between tasks without direction from the Chefsmöte. The NIC should also be in closer contact with the chairs of the WG's, and better guidelines for the Nordic cooperation framework - an umbrella for the strategic priorities.	The members of the group would like to have a clearer view from the DG on how they see this group. This is something that they would like the DGs to discuss at Stora Chefsmöte.

Are the tasks clearly defined?		There is a lack of expectations in relation to the level of ambition. It can be a resource problem for the smaller countries.	They are part of the workplan.	The group would like to have a clearer view from the DGs on how they see this group. This is something that they would like the DGs to discuss at Stora chefsmötet.
Participation				
Is the composition of people in the group correct?	The members of the group consist of a mix of employees and managers, which works well.	The group has talked about having two representatives from each country, but it depends on what questions are up. Legal expertise is needed at the meetings, which are typically about organization or law.	Yes. It is important for all WG in the Nordic cooperation to have both management and expert level participation. The management level provides direction and delivers resources. The experts deliver the work and results.	We didn't ask this question directly but in the conversation with the group members it was stated that the discussions in the group are on high level and they get many valuable information on different issues. Derived from this information the compositions seem to be correct.
Do the participants have the right skills?	There are the necessary competencies in the group and the members complement each other well. The group also uses presenters who are not part of the group when necessary.	There is a need for competencies within law and organization.	Yes, the experts are very qualified	Yes